

### Remarks

Claims 43-72 were under consideration in the application. Claims 62 and 68 are amended. After amendment, claims 43-72 remain pending in the application. A Notice of Appeal was filed on the same date of the filing of this amendment.

Objection was made to claim 68 for being a multiple dependent claim dependent upon other multiple dependent claims. Claim 68 is amended such that it is no longer a multiple dependent claim. This corrects the form of claim 68. After final rejection, but on or before the same date of filing an appeal, an amendment may be made complying with any requirement of form expressly as set forth in the previous Office action. 37 CFR § 1.116(b)(1).

Objection was made to claim 62 because the phrase "a liquid supplying device" was improper due to the fact that such phrase already had antecedent basis in claim 60. Claim 62 is amended such that the phrase correctly refers to its antecedent basis in claim 60. This corrects the form of claim 62. After final rejection, but on or before the same date of filing an appeal, an amendment may be made complying with any requirement of form expressly as set forth in the previous Office action. 37 CFR § 1.116(b)(1).

All further outstanding issues (i.e. rejections as to substance) will be addressed in Applicant's appeal brief.

Respectfully Submitted,



Mark Oathout  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 33,747  
3701 Kirby Drive, Suite No.960  
Houston, Texas 77098  
Telephone (713) 522-6565  
Facsimile (713) 522-8889